

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/698,136

Applicant(s)

KEARL, DANIEL A.

Examiner

Tracy Dove

Art Unit

1745

## All Participants:

(1) Tracy Dove.

(2) Julia Dierker.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 7 December 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*No rejections of record.*

Claims discussed:

*claims 42-80*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*


## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner telephoned Applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to correct formal matters regarding the claimed invention. The proposed changes overcome 35 U.S.C. 112, 2<sup>nd</sup>, issues. Applicant agreed to the changes proposed by the Examiner.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)